

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,426	04/20/2004	Hidekazu Moriyama	119295	2552	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 04/16/200 PRIDGE PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 320850			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1792	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/827,426 MORIYAMA, HIDEKAZU Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		William P. Fletcher III	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Solve of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication, period for poly a specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period for poly a specified above, the maximum statutory period for poly and period period period for period p	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 De</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final.		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b)  objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<li>4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li>						

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/827,426 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Amendment

Claims 1-5 remain pending.

#### Response to Arguments

- The objections and rejections under 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> Paragraph, are withdrawn in view of the amendment.
- 3. The prior art rejections are maintained. The recited solutions are not required to be compositionally different. As such, the Examiner's interpretation of the claims and the prior art, set forth in the prior Office action, still apply and the claims remain rejected over the art of record. It is the Examiner's position that, since the water of Katsuragi is involved at some point in a manufacturing process, it reads on the now claimed "manufacture solution."
- The double patenting rejections are withdrawn since co-pending application 10/827 317 is now abandoned

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

Application/Control Number: 10/827,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

possession of the claimed invention. The claims have been amended to recite a "manufacture solution" but the instant specification discloses only a functional solution (ink) for the manufacture of a device having fine wiring patterns (film patterns), such as a semiconductor integrated circuit. See [0002] and [0005]. This disclosure does not support the any and all means and devices of manufacture encompassed by the term "manufacture solution."

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "manufacture solution" is indefinite because the precise nature and extent of the manufacture and how, precisely, the solution is related to the manufacture, are unclear. Consequently, the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter is impossible to determine.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1-5 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Katsuragi et al. (US 2002/0008725 A1).
  - A. This reference is applied for the same reasons set forth under this heading in the prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1792

B. This reference continues to anticipate the claims, as amended, as explained above.

#### Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Sunday, 5:00 AM - 12:00 PM and Monday through Friday, 5:00 AM - 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/827,426 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William Phillip Fletcher III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

March 13, 2009